

## 2012 S. T. Yau College Math Contests Oral Exam on Statistics

Saturday, August 4, morning

**Problem 1.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  independent and identically distributed observations from the exponential distribution with density function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\beta}e^{-x/\beta}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ .

a) Let  $T$  be an unbiased estimator of the scale parameter  $\beta$ . Prove that

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{\beta^2}{n}.$$

b) Can you find an unbiased estimator  $T$  that attains the lower bound in part a)? If yes, please construct one. If no, please show why such an estimator does not exist.

**Solutions to 2012 S. T. Yau College Math Contests Oral Exam on  
Statistics**

Saturday, August 4, morning

**Problem 1.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  independent and identically distributed observations from the exponential distribution with density function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\beta}e^{-x/\beta}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ .

a) Let  $T$  be an unbiased estimator of the scale parameter  $\beta$ . Prove that

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{\beta^2}{n}.$$

*Solution:* The above lower bound on the variance of an unbiased estimator  $T$  of the scale parameter  $\beta$  is given by the Cramér-Rao bound  $1/I(\beta)$ . The log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ -\log \beta - \frac{X_i}{\beta} \right\},$$

which leads to

$$\ell'(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ -\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{X_i}{\beta^2} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell''(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^2} - \frac{2X_i}{\beta^3} \right\}.$$

Thus the Fisher information is

$$I(\beta) = -E\ell''(\beta) = \frac{n}{\beta^2}.$$

b) Can you find an unbiased estimator  $T$  that attains the lower bound in part a)? If yes, please construct one. If no, please show why such an estimator does not exist.

*Solution:* The answer is yes. The maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\beta}$ , which solves the score equation  $\ell'(\beta) = 0$ , is identical to the sample mean  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . It is easy to show that such an estimator is unbiased and attains the lowest variance.

## 2012 S. T. Yau College Math Contests Oral Exam on Statistics

Saturday, August 4, afternoon

**Problem 1.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  independent and identically distributed observations from the Cauchy distribution with density function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+(x-\theta)^2}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- a) Let  $T$  be an unbiased estimator of the location parameter  $\theta$ . Prove that

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{2}{n}.$$

- b) Can you find an unbiased estimator  $T$  that attains the lower bound in part a)? If yes, please construct one. If no, please show why such an estimator does not exist.
- c) Can you provide an estimator  $T$  that can attain the lower bound on  $\text{Var}(T)$  in part a) asymptotically, by removing the constraint of unbiasedness?

# Solutions to 2012 S. T. Yau College Math Contests Oral Exam on Statistics

Saturday, August 4, afternoon

**Problem 1.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  independent and identically distributed observations from the Cauchy distribution with density function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+(x-\theta)^2}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

a) Let  $T$  be an unbiased estimator of the location parameter  $\theta$ . Prove that

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{2}{n}.$$

*Solution:* The above lower bound on the variance of an unbiased estimator  $T$  of the location parameter  $\theta$  is given by the Cramér-Rao bound  $1/I(\theta)$ . The log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ -\log \pi - \log [1 + (X_i - \theta)^2] \right\},$$

which leads to

$$\ell'(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2(X_i - \theta)}{1 + (X_i - \theta)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell''(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-2 + 2(X_i - \theta)^2}{[1 + (X_i - \theta)^2]^2}.$$

Thus the Fisher information is

$$I(\theta) = -E\ell''(\theta) = \frac{n}{2}.$$

b) Can you find an unbiased estimator  $T$  that attains the lower bound in part a)? If yes, please construct one. If no, please show why such an estimator does not exist.

*Solution:* The answer is no. From the proof of the Cramér-Rao theorem, we see that the above lower bound on variance can be attained only if the following Cauchy-Schwarz inequality becomes an equation

$$(E\{\ell'(\theta)(T - \theta)\})^2 \leq E\{\ell'(\theta)\}^2 E(T - \theta)^2.$$

It is well known that the equation holds only when

$$T - \theta = (\text{some constant}) \cdot \ell'(\theta) = (\text{some constant}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2(X_i - \theta)}{1 + (X_i - \theta)^2},$$

which entails that

$$T = \theta + (\text{some constant}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2(X_i - \theta)}{1 + (X_i - \theta)^2}.$$

The above representation shows that such an “optimal” estimator  $T$  should always depend on the location parameter  $\theta$ , which cannot be an estimator in the first place.

- c) Can you provide an estimator  $T$  that can attain the lower bound on  $\text{Var}(T)$  in part a) asymptotically, by removing the constraint of unbiasedness?

*Solution:* The answer is yes by the classical asymptotic theory of the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE). The MLE  $\hat{\theta}$ , which solves the score equation  $\ell'(\theta) = 0$ , is known to be asymptotically normal with mean  $\theta$  and variance  $1/I(\theta) = \frac{2}{n}$ .

## 2012 S. T. Yau College Math Contests Oral Exam on Statistics

Sunday, August 5, morning

**Problem 1.** Consider the linear regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector of response,  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p)$  is an  $n \times p$  design matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 = (\beta_{0,1}, \dots, \beta_{0,p})^T$  is a  $p$ -dimensional vector of regression coefficients, and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)^T$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector of independent and identically distributed noise with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ . It is well known that the ordinary least-squares estimator becomes unstable or even inapplicable when  $p$  is large compared to  $n$ . One idea for remedying this issue is the ridge regression which gives the ridge estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{ridge}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y},$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is called the ridge parameter.

- a) Calculate the mean of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{ridge}}$ .
- b) Calculate the covariance matrix of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{ridge}}$ .

## Solutions to 2012 S. T. Yau College Math Contests Oral Exam on Statistics

Sunday, August 5, morning

**Problem 1.** Consider the linear regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector of response,  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p)$  is an  $n \times p$  design matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 = (\beta_{0,1}, \dots, \beta_{0,p})^T$  is a  $p$ -dimensional vector of regression coefficients, and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)^T$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector of independent and identically distributed noise with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ . It is well known that the ordinary least-squares estimator becomes unstable or even inapplicable when  $p$  is large compared to  $n$ . One idea for remedying this issue is the ridge regression which gives the ridge estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{ridge}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y},$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is called the ridge parameter.

- a) Calculate the mean of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{ridge}}$ .

*Solution:*

$$E\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{ridge}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 - \lambda (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}_0.$$

- b) Calculate the covariance matrix of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{ridge}}$ .

*Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{ridge}}) &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

## 2012 S. T. Yau College Math Contests Oral Exam on Statistics

Sunday, August 5, afternoon

**Problem 1.** Let  $X_i \sim N(\theta_i, \frac{1}{n})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be independent. Find an estimator  $\hat{T}$  of  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2$  and calculate  $E(\hat{T} - T)^2$ .